App. F. IX-4

Previous References
Law 82-30-5-10
" 82-30-15-18
" 82-30-11-14
" 82-30-3 & 4
Later References
" 82-30-1-2

1930.31 (Law)

File No. 82-30
Serial Nos. 19-22

(10)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 7 onw

Part B for March 1932

SUBJECT.

Interpleader Suit - re - Mr. Cox of Valuables deposited in the Sira Taluk Treasury by one Bindu Madhava Chari of Sira

---

20) 2 To D. C. Tumkur (Asst.)
" Comptroller

No. P4929-30 of 26-2-32
Sanctions a sum of Rs 123-8-0 towards the expenses incurred in connection with the filing of an interpleader suit.

21) 2 To Comptroller thro' H Secl.

No. P5499 of 15-3-32:
Informs that money is need - the sanctioned expenditure may be found in Demand No. 9 as there will be Savings under Stationery & Printing.

M. Abdul Aziz
30-3-32

Interpleader Suit re: the box of valuables deposited Sora in the Treasury by the late Bimbu Madhavacha

3635

General and Revenue Secretariat | Law File No. 82 of 1930. | [App. F. III—

Serial No.

19

From;

The D.C. Tumkur

No. A.9.C. 1410/30-31 dated 8th Feb 1932 Soliciting Sanction of Govt for incurring an expenditure of Rs 175 & o towards the proposed interpleader Suit.

flag 'B' 1) Sanction of Govt to file an interpleader Suit under Sec 88 and order xxxv C.P.C. was conveyed in this office letter No. P. 3935 of 7.1.31 the plaint as revised by the present PPr. Bangalore was approved and sent to the D.C. 'A' (Vide Para 8 of Law file 82-30 Sh 11-14). The fee payable to the Counsel was also fixed at Rs 50/- 'H' (Para 2 of 63 Law file 82-30 Sh 304). The Incurring of the necessary expenditure as shown in the P.W.D. has therefore to be Sanctioned. The entire Cost will be a charge on the property and can easily be recovered.

2) Dft G.O. Sanctioning is Subd for approval.

ISD 19/2

2nd member) Through Fin Secy) BNP 19/2/32

H 3045/20-2-32

3) I do not know if the DC can point out a source for meeting the Cost.

The expenditure may be charged to Misc - Misc, the recovery being credited to the corresponding receipt head later.

4) As regards appropriating funds, it may be necessary to find money in the Demand No 9, as this will be wrongly under S.R. & Printing.

20/2

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

Interpleader Suit re: the loss of Valuables deposited in Sona Tikri Treasury

File No. | of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

b) Draft is duly revised in accordance with the Fin: Sec's opinion

Submitted for approval

2nd member) MP 22/2

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 22 FEB. 1932 No 697/C

by 23/2

23/2

Signed MP 23/2

TYPING SECTION 23. FEB. 1932 GENL. & REV. SECTT.

To,

The D. C. Tumkur

& Comptroller to Govt.

G.O.Ms.No. 4929-30 Law 88-30-20 dt 26.2.32

Sanctioning a Sum of Rs 123-8-0 towards the Expenses in Connection with the filing of an interpleader suit.

B

ISD 3/3/32

From,

The Comptroller

MP 2/3

No. 1558/F&A dated 7th March 1932 intimating that there is no provision during the Current year under 17. Mis 6. Misc and enquiring whether the funds the required extent could be allotted under the head and suggesting that the present Charge Can be met out of 1. Land Revenue xiii) Contingencies

Ref: Para 1, 4 and 5 Supra,

Fin: Sectt may perhaps be Consulted.

ISD 9/3

Inter-pleader Suit re: the box of valuables deposited 3
G145 in the Sirsa Taluk Treasury.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

I think that the Comptroller may be told of the Fin Secy's remarks at para 5 supra —

Dev Secy)
Fin Secy)

DNP 9.3.32

Issue.. MM 10/3

12.3.32

Dft to the Comptroller for issue

ISD 11/3/32

Issue DNP 12/3

H. Genl. 1797

Draft of letter filed.

ISD 15/3

Issue DNP 16/3 Issued

To,

The Comptroller Hyd' finl. recd. no. R 2999 dated 15.3.32 informing money to meet the Sanctioned Expenditure may found in Demand no 9 a this will be Savd in 'Stationery and Printing'

B

ISD 17/3

DNP 17/3

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

3635/31 1

No. A.1.C. 1410/30-31

Enc. One

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Dated Tumkur, the 8th Feb: 193 2

FROM

N. Sundararaja Iyengar, Esquire, B.A.,.

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District, Tumkur.

Law 82-30

To

N. Madhava Rao, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

~~Department~~,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. J. 3611/ Law 82-30 dated 31st December 1931 in the matter of expenses to be incurred for filing an Inter-pleader suit to settle the dispute as to the ownership of the box of the valuables deposited in the Sira Taluk Treasury by the late Bindu Madhavachar, I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of the Comptroller's letter No. 410 TADA I dated 30th January 1932 for favour of perusal.

I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for incurring the expenditure noted in the margin*.

*

1. Court fees on the plaint or the suit	50-0-0
2. Court fee for the Govt: claim for retention charges.	18-0-0
3. Vakalat	0-8-0
4. Contingencies	1-0-0
5. Summons	4-0-0
6. Fees	50-0-0
Total Rs.	123-8-0

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

AD. 8/2

Letter No. 410 TADA I dated 30th Jan: 32, from the Comptroller to the Govt: of Mysore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

"

With reference to your letter No.A.1.C. 1410/30-31 dated 6th January 1932 regarding the amount to be advanced to the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, for filing an inter-pleader suit to settle the dispute as to the ownership of the box containing valuables, deposited in Sira Taluk Treasury by the late Bindu Madhavachar, I have the honor to state that expenses connected with the institution defence and the conduct of any suit or proceeding should be met by the department concerned, from out of its budget grants (vide Article 165 (e) M.C.A.C. Vol. I). Advances of money necessary for the initial and subsequent expenses of a suit or proceeding should be made by the department after obtaining sanction of Government. Sanction of Govt: may kindly be obtained for incurring the expenditure proposed and a bill be sent for pre-audit after the receipt of the Govt: order. The Chief Secretary's letter, copy of whivh is sent with your letter under reply, conveys no definite sanction for any expenditure.

--

COPY

For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

AD. 8/2

8/2/32

G.R.S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Law

File No. 82-50

Serial No. 2—

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 26/1/193

Signed by M' Ray

Read—

Letter no. A.9.C. 1410/30-31 dated 8th Feb 1932 from the D.C. Tumkur Soliciting Sanction of Govt for incurring the ~~Expenditure~~ marginally noted expenditure in Connection with the filing of an Interpleader Suit to settle the ~~Order thereon.—No~~ dispute as to the ownership of the box of valuables deposited in the Sira Taluk Treasury by the late Bindin Madhavacha

order :- G 4929-30

Sanctioned, the expenditure being charged to Misc— misc. ~~the D.C. Tumkur is requested to~~ The costs now to be incurred when ~~further directed to the Comptroller~~

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

1.	Court fees on the plaint in the Suit	50-00
2.	Court fee for the Interclaim for retention charges	8-00
3.	Vakalat	0-8-0
4.	Contingencies	1-00
5.	Summons	4-00
6.	Fee	50-00
	Rs	123-8-0

4

~~the Source out of which the~~
~~Charge is to be met~~

duly recovered later on will be credited to the corresponding receipt head.

MP
20/2 Dewey

MP
18/2 Ch. Sec'y

To,

The D.C. Treasurer

Comptroller to favor

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 73—GBPM—20,000—10-8-31.

EWD

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read -

Letter No.MC 1410/30-31 dated 8th February 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur soliciting sanction of Government for incurring the marginally noted expenditure in connection with the filing of an interpleader suit to settle the dispute as to the ownership of the box of valuables deposited in the Sira Taluk Treasury by the late Bindu Madhavachar.

@

1.	Court fees on the plaint or the suit	Rs. 50 0 0	
2.	Court fee for the Government claim for retention charges. ..	18 0 0	
3.	Vakalat. ..	0 8 0	
4.	Contingencies ..	1 0 0	
5.	Summons. ..	4 0 0	
6	Fees. ..	50 0 0	
	Total	123 8 0	

Order no. 4929-30 Law 82.30.20

Dated, Bangalore, 24th February 1932.

Sanctioned, the expenditure being charged to Misc - misc. The costs now to be incurred when duly recovered later on will be credited to the corresponding receipt head.

M Venkatarao

Jr Secretary to Government,

Development Department.

TSD

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

The Comptroller to Government.

ACH.3.

4148 P 37 6

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. 458/TAD A i Bangalore, dated 7th March 1932.

From

S. SHAMANNA, Esq., B.A.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Law 82-30-4

To

B. T. Keshavaiengar Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Department, Bangalore.

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARY
8. MAR. 1932

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No.P.4929-30 Law 82-30-20 dated 24/26th February 1932 re. an expenditure of Rs.123-8-0 for filing an inter-Pleader suit to settle the ownership of the box of valuables deposited in Sira Taluk by the late Bindu Madhavachar, I have the honor to state that under the head 17.Miscellaneous 6.Misc — Miscellaneous pointed out in the Government Order there is no provision during the current year. I request to be informed whether funds to the required extent will be allotted under the Head. I may state that expenses connected with the Institution defence and the conduct of any suit or proceeding have, as per G.O.No.P.4966-5045 Cts 76-23-1 dated 10th/19th March 1924 to be met by the Department concerned out of its Budget grants and it is therefore for consideration whether the charge ~~charge~~ cannot be met out of Land Revenue 2(1) Contingencies.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Comptroller

8/3

CV

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section And

File No. 2..2

Serial No. 12

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 5499

To The Comptroller to Govt.

Through H Loett

Sir

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

With reference to

Your letter no. 458 FT.AD A i dated

Date of issue 15/3/32

7th March 1932 re: ~~user~~ provision for expenditure

G.O. No. 4949-30/dated 22-30-20 dt 24/26.2.32

Sanctioning ~~the Sanctioned~~ for filing an interpleader

Signed by

Rs 123-8-0

Suit. in Connection with the box of

Valuables deposited in Sira Taluk

Treasury by the late Bindu

Madhavachar, I am directed

to state that ~~in~~ money to meet

the Sanctioned expenditure may be found

~~to find money in~~ Demand no. 9

List of enclosures .....

as there will be Savings under

Stationery and Printing

Copied by .....

I have etc

15D

Dy

Dy

17/3 for Dir. Secy

Examined by .....